

$\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in quantum systems with position-dependent mass

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2006 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 219

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/39/1/016>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.101

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 04:12

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in quantum systems with position-dependent mass

**Toshiaki Tanaka**

Department of Physics, Tamkang University, Tamsui 25137, Taiwan, Republic of China

E-mail: [ttanaka@mail.tku.edu.tw](mailto:ttanaka@mail.tku.edu.tw)

Received 21 September 2005, in final form 2 November 2005

Published 7 December 2005

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/39/219](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/39/219)

## Abstract

We formulate the framework of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in one-body quantum mechanical systems with position-dependent mass (PDM). We show that some of the significant properties in the constant-mass case such as the equivalence to weak quasi-solvability also hold in the PDM case. We develop a systematic algorithm for constructing an  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric PDM system. We apply it to obtain the type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in the case of PDM, which is characterized by the so-called type A monomial space. The complete classification and general form of effective potentials for the type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in the PDM case are given.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge, 02.30.Hg, 11.30.Pb

## 1. Introduction

Recently, quantum systems with position-dependent mass (PDM) have attracted much attention in various research fields of physics such as semiconductors, quantum dots, liquid crystals, and so on. Accordingly, investigations into exact solutions of PDM quantum systems have been carried out increasingly in the last few years. For references, see e.g., [1–26] and those cited therein. Due to the fact that the position-dependent mass  $m(q)$  does not commute with the momentum operator  $p = -i\hbar d/dq$ , ambiguity arises in defining a quantum kinetic operator which is formally Hermitian and reduces to the classical kinetic term  $T = p^2/2m(q)$ . Hence, the following operator proposed by von Roos [27] has been generally considered:

$$H = -\frac{1}{4} \left( m(q)^\alpha \frac{d}{dq} m(q)^\beta \frac{d}{dq} m(q)^\gamma + m(q)^\gamma \frac{d}{dq} m(q)^\beta \frac{d}{dq} m(q)^\alpha \right) + V(q), \quad (1.1)$$

with the constraint  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = -1$ . A different choice of the parameters results in a different correction to the original potential profile  $V(q)$ , and the above Hamiltonian always has the following form:

$$H = -\frac{1}{2m(q)} \frac{d^2}{dq^2} + \frac{m'(q)}{2m(q)^2} \frac{d}{dq} + U(q), \quad (1.2)$$

where the *effective* potential  $U(q)$  is given by

$$U(q) = V(q) - (\alpha + \gamma) \frac{m''(q)}{4m(q)^2} + (\alpha\gamma + \alpha + \gamma) \frac{m'(q)^2}{2m(q)^3}. \quad (1.3)$$

Hence, the typical investigations into (quasi-)exact solvability of PDM quantum systems consist in finding simultaneously a pair of an effective potential  $U(q)$  and a mass function  $m(q)$  for which the PDM Hamiltonian (1.2) admits (a number of) exact eigenfunctions in closed forms. Up to now, two different methods have been frequently employed, namely, coordinate transformations including point canonical transformations [1, 2, 5–9, 12, 16, 18, 20, 25], and supersymmetric methods [3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 19–22, 24, 25]. The latter approaches were also applied to many-body PDM quantum systems [26]. For the methods themselves developed in ordinary quantum systems, see references cited therein.

On the other hand, the framework of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry has been rapidly developed in one-body ordinary quantum mechanical systems, especially in the last few years. It was originally proposed as a higher derivative generalization of ordinary supercharges [28]. Later, a significant breakthrough was achieved by the proof of the equivalence between the  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry and (weak) quasi-solvability [29]. Based on the equivalence, a systematic algorithm for constructing an  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric system was developed [30]. Owing to the fact that the framework of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry includes all the ordinary supersymmetric methods as its special cases and to its equivalence to weak quasi-solvability, which is a less restrictive concept than quasi-exact solvability [31, 32], it provides one of the most powerful methods for investigating one-body quantum mechanical systems which admit analytic solutions in so far the least restrictive sense.

Considering the present situation described above, it is natural to ask whether or not we can formulate the  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry also in PDM quantum systems, especially as a powerful tool for constructing (quasi-)solvable PDM systems. In this paper, we show that it is indeed possible and that the characteristic properties such as the equivalence to weak quasi-solvability also hold in the PDM case. Furthermore, we generalize the systematic algorithm for constructing an  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric ordinary quantum system in [30] to the PDM case. As an illustration, we apply it to construct the so-called type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry [33, 34] in PDM quantum systems.

The paper is organized as follows. In the following section, we review the precise definition of some important concepts in the paper, such as quasi-solvability, to avoid ambiguity. In section 3, we define  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in one-body quantum systems with position-dependent mass and discuss a couple of general significant consequences. In section 4, we develop a systematic algorithm for constructing an  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric PDM quantum system by slightly generalizing the one in the constant-mass case in [30]. In section 5, we apply the algorithm to construct the type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in the PDM case. In section 6, we completely classify and present the explicit forms of all the inequivalent type A models with arbitrary position dependence of mass. In the last section, we summarize the results and discuss various future issues.

## 2. Definition

First of all, we shall give precise definition of some key concepts in the paper. The original ideas can be found in [29, 35] but we have slightly modified the terms from the view point of the recent advances in this research field. Let  $H$  be a linear differential operator of a single

variable and  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  be an (at most)  $\mathcal{N}$ th-order linear differential operator. Then,  $H$  is said to be *weakly quasi-solvable* with respect to  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  [34] if it preserves the vector space  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} = \ker P_{\mathcal{N}}. \tag{2.1}$$

A linear differential operator  $H$  of a single variable is said to be *quasi-solvable* if it preserves a finite-dimensional functional space  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}$  whose basis admits an analytic expression in a closed form:

$$H\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} = n(\mathcal{N}) < \infty, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} = \langle \phi_1(q), \dots, \phi_{n(\mathcal{N})}(q) \rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

It is evident that a weakly quasi-solvable operator is also quasi-solvable if linearly independent  $\mathcal{N}$  solutions of  $P_{\mathcal{N}}\phi = 0$  can be obtained in the closed form. An immediate consequence of the above definition of quasi-solvability is that, since we can calculate finite-dimensional matrix elements  $H_{k,l}$  defined by

$$H\phi_k = \sum_{l=1}^{n(\mathcal{N})} H_{k,l}\phi_l, \quad k = 1, \dots, n(\mathcal{N}), \tag{2.3}$$

we can diagonalize the operator  $H$  and obtain its spectra in the space  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}$  with finite algebraic manipulations. However, these calculable spectra and the corresponding vectors of  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}$  in general only give *local* solutions of the characteristic equation. This fact naturally leads to the well-known concept of quasi-exact solvability. A quasi-solvable operator  $H$  is said to be *quasi-exactly solvable* (on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) if the invariant space  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is a subspace of a Hilbert space  $L^2(S)$  on which the operator  $H$  is naturally defined. It is evident that if an operator is not only quasi-solvable but also quasi-exactly solvable, the calculable spectra and the corresponding vectors of  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}$  give a part of the *exact* eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $H$ , respectively. On the other hand, there are cases in which all the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues can be obtained analytically such as the well-known harmonic oscillator. In order to characterize these cases, we first introduce another subclass of quasi-solvability. A quasi-solvable operator  $H$  is said to be *solvable* if it preserves an infinite flag of finite-dimensional functional spaces  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}$ ,

$$\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \dots, \tag{2.4}$$

whose bases admit explicit analytic expressions in closed forms, that is,

$$H\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} = n(\mathcal{N}) < \infty, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} = \langle \phi_1(q), \dots, \phi_{n(\mathcal{N})}(q) \rangle, \tag{2.5}$$

for all  $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . A consequence of solvability defined above is that, for an arbitrary natural number  $\mathcal{N}$ , we can obtain additional  $n(\mathcal{N} + 1) - n(\mathcal{N})$  local solutions of the characteristic equation for  $H$  in  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}+1}$  with finite algebraic manipulations, based on the knowledge of them in the subspace  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}+1}$ . Hence, arbitrary number of local solutions of the characteristic equation for  $H$  can be calculated, in principle, with a well-defined finite algebraic algorithm. As in the case of quasi-solvability, however, they are not necessarily the exact eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of  $H$ . A solvable operator  $H$  is said to be *exactly solvable* (on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) if the sequence of the spaces (2.4) in  $S$  satisfies,

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}(S)} \rightarrow L^2(S) \quad (\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \infty). \tag{2.6}$$

This definition precisely fits what is commonly meant by exactly solvable. In the PDM case, it is pointed out [22] that in addition to the square integrability, any eigenfunction  $\psi$  of a PDM Hamiltonian (1.2) should satisfy on the boundary  $\partial S$

$$\frac{|\psi(q)|^2}{m(q)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (q \rightarrow \partial S), \tag{2.7}$$

in order for the PDM Hamiltonian to be Hermitian. In this paper, however, we do not discuss Hilbert spaces, boundary conditions, normalizability, etc which strongly rely on a specific choice of  $m(q)$ . We do not assume Hermiticity of Hamiltonians and thus reality of effective potential  $U(q)$  and mass function  $m(q)$  either, taking into account possible application to recently developing non-Hermitian quantum theories.

### 3. $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in PDM quantum systems

To define the  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in one-body PDM quantum systems, let us first introduce fermionic variables  $\psi$  and  $\psi^\dagger$  satisfying

$$\{\psi, \psi\} = \{\psi^\dagger, \psi^\dagger\} = 0, \quad \{\psi, \psi^\dagger\} = 1. \quad (3.1)$$

In this paper, we consider a super-Hamiltonian

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{N}} = H_{\mathcal{N}}^- \psi \psi^\dagger + H_{\mathcal{N}}^+ \psi^\dagger \psi, \quad (3.2)$$

where the components  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^\pm$  are given by PDM Schrödinger operators:

$$H_{\mathcal{N}}^\pm = -\frac{1}{2m(q)} \frac{d^2}{dq^2} + \frac{m'(q)}{2m(q)^2} \frac{d}{dq} + U_{\mathcal{N}}^\pm(q). \quad (3.3)$$

For the above system, we define  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supercharges  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{N}}^\pm$  by

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{N}}^- = P_{\mathcal{N}} \psi^\dagger \equiv P_{\mathcal{N}}^- \psi^\dagger, \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = P_{\mathcal{N}}^t \psi \equiv P_{\mathcal{N}}^+ \psi, \quad (3.4)$$

where the operator  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ th-order linear differential operator of the following form<sup>1</sup>:

$$P_{\mathcal{N}} = m(q)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}} \frac{d^{\mathcal{N}}}{dq^{\mathcal{N}}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\mathcal{N}-1} w_k(q) \frac{d^k}{dq^k}. \quad (3.5)$$

In equation (3.4), the superscript  $t$  denotes the formal transposition. A system  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric with respect to  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{N}}^\pm$  if it commutes with them:

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{N}}^\pm, \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{N}}] = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

From the above simple generalization of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry to PDM systems, we can show that most of the relevant consequences of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in ordinary quantum systems with constant mass also hold in the present case. Let us first prove the equivalence between  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry and weak quasi-solvability. Suppose a super-Hamiltonian  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric. It is easy to see that the component operators  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^\pm$  satisfy the following intertwining relation

$$P_{\mathcal{N}}^- H_{\mathcal{N}}^- = H_{\mathcal{N}}^+ P_{\mathcal{N}}^-, \quad (3.7)$$

and its formal transposition,  $P_{\mathcal{N}}^+ H_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = H_{\mathcal{N}}^- P_{\mathcal{N}}^+$ , and thus they preserve the vector spaces  $\ker P_{\mathcal{N}}^\pm$ , respectively. Hence,  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^\pm$  are weakly quasi-solvable. Conversely, let us assume that a PDM Schrödinger operator  $H$  is weakly quasi-solvable with respect to an  $\mathcal{N}$ th-order linear differential operator  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  of the form (3.5). Define a linear operator  $G = P_{\mathcal{N}} H - H_1 P_{\mathcal{N}}$  with

$$H_1 = H + m^{\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{2}} w'_{\mathcal{N}-1} + \frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{2} m^{\frac{\mathcal{N}-4}{2}} m' w_{\mathcal{N}-1} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^2 m''}{4m^2} - \frac{3\mathcal{N}^2 (m')^2}{8m^3}. \quad (3.8)$$

From the assumed weak quasi-solvability,  $H \ker P_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \ker P_{\mathcal{N}}$ , we have

$$\phi \in \ker P_{\mathcal{N}} \implies G\phi = P_{\mathcal{N}} H\phi - H_1 P_{\mathcal{N}}\phi = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

<sup>1</sup> Here we fix the irrelevant overall multiplicative constant factor so that  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is monic when  $m(q) = 1$ .

On the other hand, it is easy to check that  $G$  is of order (at most)  $\mathcal{N} - 1$  while the dimension of  $\ker P_{\mathcal{N}}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ . Hence, equation (3.9) cannot be hold unless  $G$  is a null operator. Therefore, if we put  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^- = H$ ,  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = H_1$ ,  $P_{\mathcal{N}}^- = P_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = P_{\mathcal{N}}^1$ , they satisfy the intertwining relation (3.7) and thus compose an  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric system.

Another significant consequence of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in ordinary quantum systems is that the anti-commutator of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supercharges  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{N}}^-$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{N}}^+$  is a polynomial of degree  $\mathcal{N}$  in the super-Hamiltonian  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ , the polynomial being (proportional to) the characteristic polynomial of the component Hamiltonians  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  restricted in the invariant subspaces  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  [29, 36]:

$$\{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{N}}^-, \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{N}}^+\} \propto \det(H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}} - \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{N}}). \tag{3.10}$$

We can easily prove that the same is also true in the PDM case. Indeed, in the proof of the theorem on SUSY algebras with T symmetry in [36], we only need to modify the functions  $w_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  in equation (6) as  $w_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}(x) = (\mp 1)^{\mathcal{N}} m(x)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}}$  and the definition of the operators  $r_l^{\pm}$  in equation (19) as

$$r_l^- = m(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{d}{dx} + \chi_l^-(x) \right), \quad r_l^+ = \left( -\frac{d}{dx} + \chi_l^+(x) \right) m(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad l = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}, \tag{3.11}$$

for a proof of equation (3.10) in the PDM case. In our definition of Hamiltonians (3.3), the proportional constant in equation (3.10) is  $2^{\mathcal{N}}$ .

Other characteristic features such as the almost isospectral property between a pair of Hamiltonians  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  are easily derived in the same way as in the ordinary case (cf [29]), and thus we do not repeat a presentation of them in this paper.

#### 4. Algorithm for constructing an $\mathcal{N}$ -fold SUSY PDM system

In [30], a systematic algorithm for constructing an  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric ordinary quantum mechanical system was developed. In this section, we show that it can be easily generalized to the PDM case. The starting point is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -dimensional linear functional space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{N}}^- = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_1(z), \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathcal{N}}(z) \rangle, \tag{4.1}$$

and a second-order linear differential operator

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^- = -A(z) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} - B(z) \frac{d}{dz} - C(z), \tag{4.2}$$

which leaves  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{N}}^-$  invariant. Let

$$\tilde{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^- = g(z) \left( \frac{d^{\mathcal{N}}}{dz^{\mathcal{N}}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\mathcal{N}-1} \tilde{w}_k(z) \frac{d^k}{dz^k} \right) \tag{4.3}$$

denote the most general  $\mathcal{N}$ th-order linear differential operator with kernel  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{N}}^-$ , where the function  $g(z)$  is for the time being undetermined. We shall first construct another second-order linear differential operator of the form

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = \tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^- - \delta C(z), \tag{4.4}$$

satisfying the intertwining relation

$$\tilde{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^- \tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^- - \tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^+ \tilde{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^- = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

To this end, note that the lhs of equation (4.5) is in general a linear differential operator of order  $\mathcal{N} + 1$ . Equating to zero the coefficients of  $\partial_z^{\mathcal{N}+1}$  and  $\partial_z^{\mathcal{N}}$  in this operator, we obtain the

following two equations for the functions  $g(z)$  and  $\delta C(z)$ :

$$\frac{g'}{g} = \frac{\mathcal{N} A'}{2 A}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\delta C = \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 2)}{2} \left( A'' - \frac{(A')^2}{2A} \right) + \mathcal{N} \left( B' - \frac{BA'}{2A} \right) - A' \tilde{w}_{\mathcal{N}-1} - 2A \tilde{w}'_{\mathcal{N}-1}. \quad (4.7)$$

When equations (4.6) and (4.7) are satisfied, the lhs of equation (4.5) is a linear differential operator of order at most  $\mathcal{N} - 1$  annihilating the  $\mathcal{N}$ -dimensional vector space  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{N}}$ , and hence it vanishes identically.

The last step in our construction consists in applying a change of variable

$$z = z(q) \quad (4.8)$$

and a *gauge* transformation

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} \mapsto e^{-\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-} \tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} e^{\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-} \Big|_{z=z(q)} \equiv H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}, \quad (4.9)$$

to simultaneously convert  $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  into the PDM Schrödinger form (3.3). Note that it is certainly possible since (by construction)  $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^-$  and  $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^+$  differ by a scalar function only. The appropriate change of variable and gauge transformation are determined by

$$z'(q)^2 = 2m(q)A(z), \quad (4.10)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-}{dq} = \frac{z''(q)}{2z'(q)} - \frac{m(q)B(z)}{z'(q)} - \frac{m'(q)}{2m(q)}. \quad (4.11)$$

The effective potentials  $U_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  are given by

$$U_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}(q) = \frac{1}{2m(q)} \left[ \left( \frac{d\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-}{dq} \right)^2 - \frac{d^2\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-}{dq^2} + \frac{m'(q)}{m(q)} \frac{d\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-}{dq} \right] - C^{\pm}(z(q)), \quad (4.12)$$

where  $C^-(z) = C(z)$  and  $C^+(z) = C(z) + \delta C(z)$ . From the above construction it immediately follows that the system (3.2) and (3.4), with  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  given by equation (4.9) and  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  by

$$P_{\mathcal{N}} = e^{-\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-} \tilde{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^- e^{\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-} \Big|_{z=z(q)}, \quad (4.13)$$

is  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric. Indeed, intertwining relation (3.7) follows by applying the gauge transformation and change of variable to equation (4.5). Furthermore, it is important to note that the form of  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  in equation (4.13) is compatible with equation (3.5). From equations (4.6) and (4.10) we have

$$\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{\mathcal{N}z''(q)}{z'(q)^2} - \frac{\mathcal{N}m'(q)}{2m(q)z'(q)}. \quad (4.14)$$

Integrating the latter equation we obtain

$$g(z) = m(q)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}} z'(q)^{\mathcal{N}}, \quad (4.15)$$

where we take the proportional constant to be 1. Thus, it immediately follows from equations (4.3) and (4.13) that  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  in equation (4.13) is indeed of the form (3.5). It is evident from the construction that the Hamiltonian  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^-$  preserves the kernel of  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  given by

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^- \equiv \ker P_{\mathcal{N}} = \langle \varphi_1(q), \dots, \varphi_{\mathcal{N}}(q) \rangle, \quad (4.16)$$

with

$$\varphi_i(q) = e^{-\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-} \tilde{\varphi}_i(z) \Big|_{z=z(q)} \quad (i = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}). \quad (4.17)$$

We thus call the space  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^-$  the *solvable sector* of  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^-$ .

Although the construction of an  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric PDM system itself has been completed, we can make it entirely symmetric with respect to the partner Hamiltonians  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^-$  and  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^+$ . For this purpose, note that from the transposition of the intertwining relation (3.7), it follows that  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^+$  leaves invariant the kernel of the supercharge

$$P_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = P_{\mathcal{N}}^t = e^{\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-} \tilde{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^t e^{-\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-}. \tag{4.18}$$

Using the identity  $(\partial_z)^t = -z'(q)\partial_z z'(q)^{-1}$  and equation (4.3) with (4.15), we can express  $P_{\mathcal{N}}^+$  as

$$P_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = e^{-\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^+} \tilde{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^+ e^{\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^+}, \tag{4.19}$$

where

$$\tilde{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = m(q)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}} z'(q)^{\mathcal{N}} \left[ \left( -\frac{d}{dz} \right)^{\mathcal{N}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\mathcal{N}-1} \left( -\frac{d}{dz} \right)^k \tilde{w}_k(z) \right], \tag{4.20}$$

and the function  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^+$  is given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = -\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^- + (\mathcal{N} - 1) \ln|z'(q)| - \frac{\mathcal{N}}{2} \ln|m(q)|. \tag{4.21}$$

Now, the partner Hamiltonians  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  are expressed in a completely symmetric way as

$$H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = e^{-\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}} \tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} e^{\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}}, \tag{4.22}$$

where the gauged Hamiltonians  $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^-$  and  $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^+$  leave the kernels of the gauged  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supercharges  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{N}}^- = \ker \tilde{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^-$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = \ker \tilde{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^+$ , respectively. To express  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  in a symmetric way, we introduce two functions by

$$W(q) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^-}{dq} - \frac{d\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^+}{dq} \right), \tag{4.23}$$

$$E(q) = \frac{z''(q)}{z'(q)}. \tag{4.24}$$

From equation (4.10), its immediate consequence

$$z''(q) = m(q)A'(z) + \frac{m'(q)A(z)}{z'(q)}, \tag{4.25}$$

and equation (4.11), the function  $W(q)$  is expressed as

$$W(q) = -\frac{m(q)}{z'(q)} \left( \frac{\mathcal{N} - 2}{2} A'(z) + B(z) \right) \equiv -\frac{m(q)}{z'(q)} Q(z). \tag{4.26}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} &= -\frac{\mathcal{N}}{4} \ln|m(q)| + \frac{\mathcal{N} - 1}{2} \int dq E(q) \mp \int dq W(q) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \ln|m(q)| + \frac{\mathcal{N} - 1}{4} \ln|2A(z)| \pm \int dz \frac{m(q)Q(z)}{2A(z)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

The connection between the gauged Hamiltonians  $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^-$  and  $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^+$  follows easily from equations (4.9), (4.22) and (4.23) as

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = \exp \left( -2 \int dq W(q) \right) \tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^- \exp \left( 2 \int dq W(q) \right). \tag{4.28}$$



Using equations (4.2), (4.4), (4.7), (4.10) and (4.26), we obtain the following unified formula for the gauged Hamiltonians:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = & -A(z) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \left[ \frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{2} A'(z) \pm Q(z) \right] \frac{d}{dz} - C(z) \\ & - (1 \pm 1) \left[ \frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{2} Q'(z) - \frac{1}{2} A'(z) \tilde{w}_{\mathcal{N}-1}(z) - A(z) \tilde{w}'_{\mathcal{N}-1}(z) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Interestingly, the form of the gauged Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^+$  as well as  $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^-$  is completely the same as in the case of constant mass, cf equation (2.45) in [30]. It should also be noted that the mass dependence of the Hamiltonians  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  given by equation (4.22) emerges only through the change of variable determined by equation (4.10) and the gauge potentials by equations (4.11) and (4.21) since the gauged Hamiltonians (4.29) do not depend on the mass function  $m(q)$ . As a consequence, each of the spectrum of the Hamiltonians  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  does not depend on the mass function  $m(q)$ .<sup>2</sup> This kind of spectral independence from the mass function is first pointed out in [10].

### 5. Type A $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry

We shall now construct type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric PDM quantum systems with the aid of the algorithm just developed in the previous section. The type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry [33] is characterized by the so-called type A monomial space [30] preserved by  $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^-$ :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{N}} = \langle 1, z, \dots, z^{\mathcal{N}-1} \rangle. \quad (5.1)$$

Applying the algorithm with this type A monomial space, we can construct the most general form of type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric PDM quantum systems. Fortunately, we can omit the process of constructing gauged Hamiltonians. Noting the fact that the form of gauged Hamiltonians  $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  is completely the same as in the constant-mass case, we immediately have [34]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = & -A(z) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \left[ \frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{2} A'(z) \pm Q(z) \right] \frac{d}{dz} \\ & - \left[ \frac{(\mathcal{N}-1)(\mathcal{N}-2)}{12} A''(z) \pm \frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{2} Q'(z) + R \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where  $R$  is a constant, and  $Q(z)$  and  $A(z)$  must satisfy

$$\frac{d^3 Q(z)}{dz^3} = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{N} \geq 2, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\frac{d^5 A(z)}{dz^5} = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{N} \geq 3, \quad (5.4)$$

or equivalently,

$$Q(z) = b_2 z^2 + b_1 z + b_0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{N} \geq 2, \quad (5.5)$$

$$A(z) = a_4 z^4 + a_3 z^3 + a_2 z^2 + a_1 z + a_0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{N} \geq 3, \quad (5.6)$$

<sup>2</sup> Some of the eigenvalues can (dis)appear depending on  $m(q)$  since normalizability of the corresponding wave functions does rely on it.

where  $b_i$  and  $a_i$  are constants. The most general  $\mathcal{N}$ th-order linear differential operator of the form (4.3), with  $g(z)$  given by equation (4.15), which annihilates the type A space is obviously

$$\tilde{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^- = m(q)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}} z'(q)^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d^{\mathcal{N}}}{dz^{\mathcal{N}}}. \quad (5.7)$$

Hence, using equations (4.13), (4.24) and (4.27), we obtain the operator  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  for the type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supercharge

$$P_{\mathcal{N}} = m(q)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}} \prod_{k=0}^{\mathcal{N}-1} \left( \frac{d}{dq} + W(q) - \frac{\mathcal{N}m'(q)}{4m(q)} + \frac{\mathcal{N}-1-2k}{2} E(q) \right), \quad (5.8)$$

where the products of operators are ordered according to the following definition:

$$\prod_{k=k_0}^{k_1} A_k = A_{k_1} A_{k_1-1} \cdots A_{k_0}. \quad (5.9)$$

The above  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  indeed reduces to the ordinary type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supercharge when  $m(q) = 1$ . The pair of type A PDM Hamiltonians  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  can be expressed in terms of the functions  $E(q)$ ,  $W(q)$  and  $m(q)$  using the following formulae, which follow from equations (4.10) and (4.26):

$$A'(z) = z'(q) \left( \frac{E(q)}{m(q)} - \frac{m'(q)}{2m(q)^2} \right), \quad (5.10)$$

$$A''(z) = \frac{E'(q) + E(q)^2}{m(q)} - \frac{3m'(q)E(q)}{2m(q)^2} - \frac{m(q)m''(q) - 2m'(q)^2}{2m(q)^3}, \quad (5.11)$$

$$Q'(z) = -\frac{W'(q) + E(q)W(q)}{m(q)} + \frac{m'(q)W(q)}{m(q)^2}. \quad (5.12)$$

From equations (4.22), (4.27), (5.2), and the above formulae, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = & -\frac{1}{2m(q)} \frac{d^2}{dq^2} + \frac{m'(q)}{2m(q)^2} \frac{d}{dq} + \frac{W(q)^2}{2m(q)} - \frac{\mathcal{N}^2 - 1}{24m(q)} (2E'(q) - E(q)^2) \\ & + \frac{\mathcal{N}^2 + 2m''(q)}{24m(q)^2} - \frac{5\mathcal{N}^2 + 16m'(q)^2}{96m(q)^3} \pm \mathcal{N} \left( \frac{W'(q)}{2m(q)} - \frac{m'(q)W(q)}{4m(q)^2} \right) - R. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

This is the most general form of type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric PDM Hamiltonians and exactly reduces to the ordinary type A when  $m(q) = 1$ . It should be also noted that the above formula is consistent with equation (3.8). From the form of the type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supercharge (5.8) we have

$$w_{\mathcal{N}-1}(q) = \mathcal{N}m(q)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}} W(q) - \frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{4} m(q)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}+2}{2}} m'(q). \quad (5.14)$$

With this formula, it is straightforward to check for the type A Hamiltonians that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{N}}^+ - H_{\mathcal{N}}^- &= \frac{\mathcal{N}W'}{m} - \frac{\mathcal{N}m'W}{2m^2} \\ &= m^{\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{2}} w'_{\mathcal{N}-1} + \frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{2} m^{\frac{\mathcal{N}-4}{2}} m' w_{\mathcal{N}-1} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^2 m''}{4m^2} - \frac{3\mathcal{N}^2 m'^2}{8m^3}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus consistent with equation (3.8). Finally, we shall express the two conditions (5.3) and (5.4) for type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in terms of  $E(q)$ ,  $W(q)$  and  $m(q)$ . Using

equations (4.10), (4.24) and (4.26), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d}{dq} - E\right) \frac{d}{dq} \left(\frac{d}{dq} + E\right) \frac{W}{m} = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{N} \geq 2, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{dq} - 2E\right) \left(\frac{d}{dq} - E\right) \frac{d}{dq} \left(\frac{d}{dq} + E\right) \left(\frac{E}{m} - \frac{m'}{2m^2}\right) = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{N} \geq 3. \quad (5.16)$$

In particular, in the case of  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  the system composed of  $P_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  reduces to

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{m(q)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{d}{dq} + W(q) - \frac{m'(q)}{4m(q)}\right), \quad (5.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_1^{\pm} = & -\frac{1}{2m(q)} \frac{d^2}{dq^2} + \frac{m'(q)}{2m(q)^2} \frac{d}{dq} + \frac{W(q)^2}{2m(q)} + \frac{m''(q)}{8m(q)^2} \\ & - \frac{7m'(q)^2}{32m(q)^3} \pm \left(\frac{W'(q)}{2m(q)} - \frac{m'(q)W(q)}{4m(q)^2}\right) - R, \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

with which the super-Hamiltonian  $\mathbf{H}_1$  and supercharges  $\mathbf{Q}_1^{\pm}$  defined by equations (3.2) and (3.4) satisfy ordinary superalgebra:

$$[\mathbf{Q}_1^{\pm}, \mathbf{H}_1] = 0, \quad \{\mathbf{Q}_1^-, \mathbf{Q}_1^+\} = 2(\mathbf{H}_1 + R). \quad (5.19)$$

Hence, it exactly reduces to (ordinary) supersymmetric PDM quantum systems. We note that thanks to the assignment of the functions  $W(q)$  and  $m(q)$  in equation (5.17), which is different from the one conventionally employed in the literature, the resulting pair of  $H_1^{\pm}$  has completely the symmetric form.

From equations (4.20) and (5.7) the operator  $\bar{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^+$  in the type A case reads

$$\bar{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = (-1)^{\mathcal{N}} m(q)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}} z'(q)^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d^{\mathcal{N}}}{dz^{\mathcal{N}}}, \quad (5.20)$$

and thus  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{N}}^+ = \ker \bar{P}_{\mathcal{N}}^+$  is also the type A monomial space (5.1). Hence, the solvable sectors of the type A Hamiltonians  $H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  are given by

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = e^{-\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}} \langle 1, z, \dots, z^{\mathcal{N}-1} \rangle|_{z=z(q)}, \quad (5.21)$$

where  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  are defined in equation (4.27).

Another important consequence of the fact that the type A systems with arbitrary PDM are obtained from the same gauged Hamiltonians (5.2) is that we can obtain completely the same results as in the constant mass case if we follow the procedure of generating the generalized Bender–Dunne polynomial (GBDP) systems  $\{\pi_n^{[\mathcal{N}]}(E)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  [34, 37]. Hence, the anti-commutator of the type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supercharges in the PDM case is also proportional to the  $\mathcal{N}$ th critical GBDP in the type A super-Hamiltonian (for details see [34] and references cited therein):

$$\{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{N}}^-, \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{N}}^+\} = 2^{\mathcal{N}} \pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{[\mathcal{N}]}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{N}}). \quad (5.22)$$

## 6. Classification of the models

Owing to the fact that the form of the gauged Hamiltonians does not depend on the mass function  $m(q)$ , we can classify type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric PDM systems completely the same way as in the constant mass case [34]. In the complex classification scheme based on

**Table 1.** Canonical forms of  $A(z)$  and the functions  $f(u)$  which characterize the change of variable. The parameters  $\nu, g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfy  $\nu \neq 0$  and  $g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 \neq 0$ .

Case	Canonical form	$f(u)$
I	$1/2$	$u$
II	$2z$	$u^2$
III	$2\nu z^2$	$e^{2\sqrt{\nu}u}$
IV	$2\nu(z^2 - 1)$	$\cosh 2\sqrt{\nu}u$
V	$2z^3 - g_2z/2 - g_3/2$	$\wp(u)$

the  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  invariance, there are five inequivalent models according to different canonical forms of  $A(z)$  given in the second column of table 1.

A new feature due to the position dependence of mass emerges through the change of variable. From equation (4.10) the change of variable is determined by

$$\pm u(q) \equiv \pm \int dq \sqrt{m(q)} = \int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{2A(z)}}. \tag{6.1}$$

Expressing the variable  $z$  in terms of  $u$  from this formula,  $z = f(u)$ , we obtain the change of variable as

$$z = z(q) = f(u)|_{u=u(q)}. \tag{6.2}$$

The function  $f(u)$  in each of the five cases is given in the third column of table 1. When  $m(q) = 1$ , it is evident that  $u(q) = q$  (up to an additive constant), and thus reproduces all the type A models with constant mass in [34]. The form of the change of variable (6.2) indicates that it is more convenient to express the type A PDM Hamiltonians (5.13) in terms of  $f(u)$ . To this end, we must first express derivatives of  $z$  with respect to  $q$  in terms of  $f(u)$  and  $m(q)$ . For instance, the first derivative of  $z(q)$  reads

$$z'(q) = f'(u)u'(q) = m(q)^{\frac{1}{2}} f'(u). \tag{6.3}$$

Similarly, we can derive

$$z''(q) = m(q) f''(u) + \frac{m'(q)}{2m(q)^{\frac{1}{2}}} f'(u), \tag{6.4}$$

$$z'''(q) = m(q)^{\frac{3}{2}} f'''(u) + \frac{3}{2} m'(q) f''(u) + \left( \frac{m''(q)}{2m(q)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{m'(q)^2}{4m(q)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) f'(u). \tag{6.5}$$

Using equations (4.24) and (6.3)–(6.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2E'(q) - E(q)^2 &= \frac{2z'''(q)}{z'(q)} - \frac{3z''(q)^2}{z'(q)^2} \\ &= m(q) \left( \frac{2f'''(u)}{f'(u)} - \frac{3f''(u)^2}{f'(u)^2} \right) + \frac{m''(q)}{m(q)} - \frac{5m'(q)^2}{4m(q)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

The function  $W(q)$  and its derivative are also expressed in terms of  $f(u)$  and  $m(q)$  with the aid of equations (4.26), (6.3) and (6.4) as

$$W(q) = -\frac{m(q)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{f'(u)} \mathcal{Q}(z) \Big|_{z=f(u)}, \tag{6.7}$$

$$W'(q) = \left[ \left( \frac{m(q) f''(u)}{f'(u)^2} - \frac{m'(q)}{2m(q)^{\frac{1}{2}} f'(u)} \right) \mathcal{Q}(z) - m(q) \mathcal{Q}'(z) \right] \Big|_{z=f(u)}. \tag{6.8}$$

Substituting equations (6.6)–(6.8) into equation (5.13), we finally have the expression of the type A PDM Hamiltonians in terms of  $f(u)$  and  $m(q)$  as follows:

$$H_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = -\frac{1}{2m(q)} \frac{d^2}{dq^2} + \frac{m'(q)}{m(q)^2} \frac{d}{dq} + \left[ \frac{Q(z)^2}{2f'(u)^2} - \frac{\mathcal{N}^2 - 1}{24} \left( \frac{2f'''(u)}{f'(u)} - \frac{3f''(u)^2}{f'(u)^2} \right) + \frac{m''(q)}{8m(q)^2} - \frac{7m'(q)^2}{32m(q)^3} \pm \frac{\mathcal{N}}{2} \left( \frac{f''(u)}{f'(u)^2} Q(z) - Q'(z) \right) - R \right]_{z=f(u)}. \quad (6.9)$$

Similarly, the gauge potentials  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}$  are expressed as

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = -\frac{1}{4} \ln|m(q)| + \frac{\mathcal{N} - 1}{2} \ln|f'(u)| \pm \int du \frac{Q(f(u))}{f'(u)}. \quad (6.10)$$

Hence, the solvable sectors of the type A Hamiltonians (5.21) reads

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = m(q)^{\frac{1}{4}} f'(u)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{2}} \exp\left(\mp \int du \frac{Q(f(u))}{f'(u)}\right) \langle 1, f(u), \dots, f(u)^{\mathcal{N}-1} \rangle. \quad (6.11)$$

Observing the above formulae and noting the fact that  $u(q) \rightarrow q$  (up to an additive constant) as  $m(q) \rightarrow 1$ , we can find a simple procedure to obtain a type A PDM quantum system from a type A constant-mass model. That is, if we denote the pair of potentials, gauge potentials and solvable sectors of a type A constant-mass model as  $V_{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)\pm}(q)$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)\pm}(q)$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)\pm}[q]$ , respectively, those of the corresponding type A PDM model are given by

$$U_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}(q) = V_{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)\pm}(u(q)) + \frac{m''(q)}{8m(q)^2} - \frac{7m'(q)^2}{32m(q)^3}, \quad (6.12a)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}(q) = -\frac{1}{4} \ln|m(q)| + \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)\pm}(u(q)), \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}[q] = m(q)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{(0)\pm}[u(q)]. \quad (6.12b)$$

This result is consistent with the one obtained by the point canonical transformation, see e.g., equations (2.7) and (2.8) in [6], and equations (10), (13) and (14) in [8]. Advantages of the framework of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry are that it can automatically determine the general functional form of the potentials for which we can obtain (a number of) analytic solutions and that, in a good situation like the present type A case, we can completely classify all the possible (quasi-)solvable models which have a specific type of solutions. In addition, it enables us to obtain simultaneously a pair of almost isospectral Hamiltonians.

In what follows, we show the explicit form of effective potentials and solvable sectors in each case classified as in table 1. We note that type A models become not only quasi-solvable but also solvable when the parameters in the potentials satisfy

$$a_4 = a_3 = b_2 = 0. \quad (6.13)$$

Hence, the models in cases I–IV are solvable when  $b_2 = 0$ , while the model in case V is always only quasi-solvable irrespective of the values of the parameters  $b_i$ .

6.1. Case I:  $A(z) = 1/2$ ,  $f(u) = u$

*Effective potentials:*

$$U_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}(q) = \frac{1}{2}(b_2 u(q)^2 + b_1 u(q) + b_0)^2 \mp \mathcal{N} b_2 u(q) + \frac{m''(q)}{8m(q)^2} - \frac{7m'(q)^2}{32m(q)^3} \mp \frac{\mathcal{N} b_1}{2} - R. \quad (6.14)$$

*Solvable sectors:*

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = m(q)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\mp \frac{b_2}{3} u(q)^3 \mp \frac{b_1}{2} u(q)^2 \mp b_0 u(q)\right) \langle 1, u(q), \dots, u(q)^{\mathcal{N}-1} \rangle. \quad (6.15)$$

6.2. Case II:  $A(z) = 2z$ ,  $f(u) = u^2$

Effective potentials:

$$U_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}(q) = \frac{b_2^2}{8}u(q)^6 + \frac{b_2b_1}{4}u(q)^4 + \frac{1}{8}(b_1^2 + 2b_0b_2 \mp 6\mathcal{N}b_2)u(q)^2 + \frac{(\mathcal{N} - 1 \pm b_0)(\mathcal{N} + 1 \pm b_0)}{8u(q)^2} + \frac{m''(q)}{8m(q)^2} - \frac{7m'(q)^2}{32m(q)^3} \mp \frac{\mathcal{N}b_1}{4} + \frac{b_0b_1}{4} - R. \quad (6.16)$$

Solvable sectors:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = m(q)^{\frac{1}{4}}u(q)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}-1\pm b_0}{2}} \exp\left(\mp \frac{b_2}{8}u(q)^4 \mp \frac{b_1}{4}u(q)^2\right) \langle 1, u(q)^2, \dots, u(q)^{2(\mathcal{N}-1)} \rangle. \quad (6.17)$$

6.3. Case III:  $A(z) = 2\sqrt{v}z^2$ ,  $f(u) = e^{2\sqrt{v}u}$

Effective potentials:

$$U_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}(q) = \frac{b_2^2}{8v}e^{4\sqrt{v}u(q)} + \frac{b_2}{4v}(b_1 \mp 2\mathcal{N}v)e^{2\sqrt{v}u(q)} + \frac{b_0}{4v}(b_1 \pm 2\mathcal{N}v)e^{-2\sqrt{v}u(q)} + \frac{b_0^2}{8v}e^{-4\sqrt{v}u(q)} + \frac{m''(q)}{8m(q)^2} - \frac{7m'(q)^2}{32m(q)^3} + \frac{b_1^2 + 2b_2b_0}{8v} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^2 - 1}{6}v - R. \quad (6.18)$$

Solvable sectors:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = m(q)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\mp \frac{b_2}{4v}e^{2\sqrt{v}u(q)} \pm \frac{b_0}{4v}e^{-2\sqrt{v}u(q)} - \frac{2(\mathcal{N} - 1)v \pm b_1}{2\sqrt{v}}u(q)\right) \times \langle 1, e^{2\sqrt{v}u(q)}, \dots, e^{2(\mathcal{N}-1)\sqrt{v}u(q)} \rangle. \quad (6.19)$$

6.4. Case IV:  $A(z) = 2v(z^2 - 1)$ ,  $f(u) = \cosh 2\sqrt{v}u$

Effective potentials:

$$U_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}(q) = \frac{b_2^2}{8v} \sinh^2 2\sqrt{v}u(q) + \frac{b_2(b_1 \mp 2\mathcal{N}v)}{2v} \sinh^2 \sqrt{v}u(q) + \frac{(b_2 + b_0)(b_1 \pm 2\mathcal{N}v)}{8v \sinh^2 \sqrt{v}u(q)} + \frac{(b_2 + b_0 - b_1 \mp 2(\mathcal{N} - 1)v)(b_2 + b_0 - b_1 \mp 2(\mathcal{N} + 1)v)}{8v \sinh^2 2\sqrt{v}u(q)} + \frac{m''(q)}{8m(q)^2} - \frac{7m'(q)^2}{32m(q)^3} \mp \frac{\mathcal{N}b_2}{2} + \frac{2b_2(b_2 + b_0 + b_1) + b_1^2}{8v} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^2 - 1}{6}v - R. \quad (6.20)$$

Solvable sectors:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = m(q)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\sinh 2\sqrt{v}u(q))^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{2} \mp \frac{b_1}{4v}} (\tanh \sqrt{v}u(q))^{\mp \frac{b_2+b_0}{4v}} \exp\left(\mp \frac{b_2}{4v} \cosh 2\sqrt{v}u(q)\right) \times \langle 1, \cosh 2\sqrt{v}u(q), \dots, (\cosh 2\sqrt{v}u(q))^{\mathcal{N}-1} \rangle. \quad (6.21)$$

6.5. Case V:  $A(z) = 2z^3 - g_2z/2 - g_3/2$ ,  $f(u) = \wp(u)$

Effective potentials:

$$U_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm}(q) = \sum_{l=1}^3 \frac{\eta_l^{\pm}}{8H_l^2[\wp(u(q)) - e_l]} + \frac{(\mathcal{N} - 1 \mp b_2)(\mathcal{N} + 1 \mp b_2)}{8} \wp(u(q)) \\ + \frac{m''(q)}{8m(q)^2} - \frac{7m'(q)^2}{32m(q)^3} \pm \frac{\mathcal{N}b_1}{4} + \frac{b_2b_1}{4} - R, \quad (6.22)$$

where  $e_l = \wp(w_l)$  ( $l = 1, 2, 3$ ) are the values of the Weierstrass function at the half of the fundamental periods  $2w_l$  and  $H_l^2 = 3e_l^2 - g_2/4$ . The coupling constants  $\eta_l^{\pm}$  are given by

$$\eta_l^{\pm} = -b_2e_l(b_2e_l - 2b_1)(2H_l^2 - 5e_l^2) + (b_1^2 + 2b_2b_0)e_l^2 - 2b_1b_0e_l + b_0^2 \\ + (\mathcal{N}^2 - 1)(H_l^4 - 18e_l^2H_l^2 + 36e_l^4) \\ \mp 2\mathcal{N}[(b_2e_l - b_1)(5H_l^2 - 12e_l^2)e_l - b_0H_l^2]. \quad (6.23)$$

Solvable sectors:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\pm} = m(q)^{\frac{1}{4}} \prod_{l=1}^3 |\wp(u(q)) - e_l|^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{4} \mp \frac{b_2e_l^2 - b_1e_l + b_0}{4H_l^2}} \langle 1, \wp(u(q)), \dots, \wp(u(q))^{\mathcal{N}-1} \rangle. \quad (6.24)$$

## 7. Discussion and summary

In this paper, we have generalized  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in ordinary quantum systems to those with position-dependent mass. The significant properties such as the equivalence to weak quasi-solvability also hold in the PDM case. We have developed the general procedure to construct an  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric PDM system and applied it to obtain the general form of type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in PDM quantum systems. It turns out that the framework of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry is quite powerful also in searching (quasi-)solvable PDM Hamiltonians as well as ordinary ones. In fact, many of the so far constructed (quasi-)solvable PDM Hamiltonians in the literature are realized as special cases of type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry. In addition, we can simultaneously obtain a pair of almost isospectral PDM Hamiltonians in the framework of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry.

There are a lot of future issues worthy investigating in this research direction. For example, it would be straightforward to construct other types of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry, namely, type B [38] and type C [30] in PDM quantum systems. They are characterized by respectively the type B monomial space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{N}} = \langle 1, z, \dots, z^{\mathcal{N}-2}, z^{\mathcal{N}} \rangle, \quad (7.1)$$

and by the type C monomial space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{N}} = \langle 1, z, \dots, z^{\mathcal{N}_1-1}, z^{\lambda}, z^{\lambda+1}, \dots, z^{\lambda+\mathcal{N}_2-1} \rangle, \quad (7.2)$$

with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-\mathcal{N}_2, -\mathcal{N}_2 + 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_1\}$ . Applying the algorithm in section 4 with above spaces, we will obtain the general form of type B and type C  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric PDM quantum systems. We expect the same relations as equations (6.12a)–(6.12b) since they are universal in view of the point canonical transformation.

Investigation of dynamical properties are interesting and important. In contrast to the ordinary constant-mass case, not only the form of potential but also the position dependence of mass affect various aspects of PDM systems. In particular, dynamical  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry

breaking can take place through the nonperturbative effect due to quantum tunnelling [39, 40]. Hence, it is quite interesting if we can experimentally observe such a phenomenon in realistic systems such as semiconductors, quantum dots, and so on.

Construction of (quasi-)solvable quantum many-body systems with position-dependent mass is a challenging problem. Recently, we have developed systematic and powerful methods for constructing quasi-solvable differential operators of arbitrary number of variables and applied them to construct quasi-solvable many-body Hamiltonians with constant mass [41–43]. We expect that the methods would also work well in the PDM case. Up to now, we have found four different types of quasi-solvable differential operators of arbitrary number of variables, namely, type A [41], type C [42], type  $A'$ , and type  $C'$  [43]. In the constant-mass case, most of the obtained Hamiltonians are Calogero–Sutherland and Inozemtsev models associated with classical root systems. Hence, we will obtain a set of mass-deformed quantum systems of these types which preserve (quasi-)solvability. In this respect, we anticipate a many-body generalization of the relations (6.12a)–(6.12b), which relates a (quasi-)solvable potential in PDM systems with that in constant-mass systems.

### Acknowledgment

This work was partially supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China under grant no NSC-93-2112-M-032-009.

### References

- [1] Dekar L, Chetouani L and Hammann T F 1998 An exactly soluble Schrödinger equation with smooth position-dependent mass *J. Math. Phys.* **39** 2551–63
- [2] Dekar L, Chetouani L and Hammann T F 1999 Wave function for smooth potential and mass step *Phys. Rev. A* **59** 107–12
- [3] Milanović V and Ikončić Z 1999 Generation of isospectral combinations of the potential and the effective-mass variations by supersymmetric quantum mechanics *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** 7001–15
- [4] Plastino A R, Rigo A, Casas M, Garcias F and Plastino A 1999 Supersymmetric approach to quantum systems with position-dependent effective mass *Phys. Rev. A* **60** 4318–25
- [5] de Souza Dutra A and Almeida C A S 2000 Exact solvability of potentials with spatially dependent effective masses *Phys. Lett. A* **275** 25–30 (Preprint quant-ph/0306065)
- [6] Alhaidari A D 2002 Solutions of the nonrelativistic wave equation with position-dependent effective mass *Phys. Rev. A* **66** 042116 (Preprint quant-ph/0207061)
- [7] Gönöl B, Gönöl B, Tutcu D and Özer O 2002 Supersymmetric approach to exactly solvable systems with position-dependent effective masses *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17** 2057–66 (Preprint quant-ph/0211112)
- [8] Gönöl B, Özer O, Gönöl B and Üzgün F 2002 Exact solutions of effective-mass Schrödinger equations *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17** 2453–65 (Preprint quant-ph/0211113)
- [9] Koç R, Koca M and Körçük E 2002 A new class of quasi-exactly solvable potentials with a position-dependent mass *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** L527–30 (Preprint quant-ph/0410108)
- [10] Roy B and Roy P 2002 A Lie algebraic approach to effective mass Schrödinger equations *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** 3961–9
- [11] de Souza Dutra A, Hott M and Almeida C A S 2003 Remarks on supersymmetry of quantum systems with position-dependent effective masses *Europhys. Lett.* **62** 8–13 (Preprint hep-th/0306078)
- [12] Koç R and Koca M 2003 A systematic study on the exact solution of the position dependent mass Schrödinger equation *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** 8105–12 (Preprint quant-ph/0410127)
- [13] Koç R and Tütüncüler H 2003 Exact solution of position dependent mass Schrödinger equation by supersymmetric quantum mechanics *Ann. Phys. Lpz* **12** 684–91 (Preprint quant-ph/0410088)
- [14] Samani K A and Loran F 2003 Shape invariant potentials for effective mass Schrödinger equation *Preprint quant-ph/0302191*
- [15] Yu J, Dong S H and Sun G H 2004 Series solutions of the Schrödinger equation with position-dependent mass for the Morse potential *Phys. Lett. A* **322** 290–7



- [16] Yu J and Dong S H 2004 Exactly solvable potentials for the Schrödinger equation with spatially dependent mass *Phys. Lett. A* **325** 194–8
- [17] Chen G 2004 Approximate series solutions of the  $N$ -dimensional position-dependent mass Schrödinger equation *Phys. Lett. A* **329** 22–7
- [18] Chen G and Chen Z 2004 Exact solutions of the position-dependent mass Schrödinger equation in  $D$  dimensions *Phys. Lett. A* **331** 312–5
- [19] Quesne C and Tkachuk V M 2004 Deformed algebras, position-dependent effective masses and curved spaces: an exactly solvable Coulomb problem *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** 4267–81 (Preprint math-ph/0403047)
- [20] Bagchi B, Gorain P, Quesne C and Roychoudhury R 2004 A general scheme for the effective-mass Schrödinger equation and the generation of the associated potentials *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **19** 2765–75 (Preprint quant-ph/0405193)
- [21] Gönöl B and Koçak M 2005 Remarks on exact solvability of quantum systems with spatially varying effective mass *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **22** 2742–5 (Preprint quant-ph/0412161)
- [22] Bagchi B, Banerjee A, Quesne C and Tkachuk V M 2005 Deformed shape invariance and exactly solvable Hamiltonians with position-dependent effective mass *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** 2929–45 (Preprint quant-ph/0412016)
- [23] Dong S H and Lozada-Cassou M 2005 Exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation with the position-dependent mass for a hard-core potential *Phys. Lett. A* **337** 313–20
- [24] Roy B and Roy P 2005 Effective mass Schrödinger equation and nonlinear algebras *Phys. Lett. A* **340** 70–3
- [25] Bagchi B, Gorain P, Quesne C and Roychoudhury R 2005 New approach to (quasi)-exactly solvable Schrödinger equations with a position-dependent effective mass *Europhys. Lett.* **72** 155–61 (Preprint quant-ph/0505171)
- [26] Quesne C 2005 First-order intertwining operators and position-dependent mass Schrödinger equations in  $d$  dimensions *Preprint quant-ph/0508216*
- [27] von Roos O 1983 Position-dependent effective masses in semiconductor theory *Phys. Rev. B* **27** 7547–52
- [28] Andrianov A A, Ioffe M V and Spiridonov V P 1993 Higher-derivative supersymmetry and the Witten index *Phys. Lett. A* **174** 273–9 (Preprint hep-th/9303005)
- [29] Aoyama H, Sato M and Tanaka T 2001  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in quantum mechanics: general formalism *Nucl. Phys. B* **619** 105–27 (Preprint quant-ph/0106037)
- [30] González-López A and Tanaka T 2005 A novel multi-parameter family of quantum systems with partially broken  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** 5133–57 (Preprint hep-th/0405079)
- [31] Turbiner A V and Ushveridze A G 1987 Spectral singularities and quasi-exactly solvable quantal problem *Phys. Lett. A* **126** 181–3
- [32] Ushveridze A G 1994 *Quasi-Exactly Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics* (Bristol: IOP Publishing)
- [33] Aoyama H, Sato M and Tanaka T 2001 General forms of a  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetric family *Phys. Lett. B* **503** 423–9 (Preprint quant-ph/0012065)
- [34] Tanaka T 2003 Type A  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry and generalized Bender–Dunne polynomials *Nucl. Phys. B* **662** 413–46 (Preprint hep-th/0212276)
- [35] Turbiner A V 1994 Lie algebras, cohomologies, and new findings in quantum mechanics *Contemp. Math.* **160** 263–310
- [36] Andrianov A A and Sokolov A V 2003 Nonlinear supersymmetry in quantum mechanics: algebraic properties and differential representations *Nucl. Phys. B* **660** 25–50 (Preprint hep-th/0301062)
- [37] Bender C M and Dunne G V 1996 Quasi-exactly solvable systems and orthogonal polynomials *J. Math. Phys.* **37** 6–11 (Preprint hep-th/9511138)
- [38] González-López A and Tanaka T 2004 A new family of  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry: type B *Phys. Lett. B* **586** 117–24 (Preprint hep-th/0307094)
- [39] Aoyama H, Kikuchi H, Okouchi I, Sato M and Wada S 1999 Valley views: instantons, large order behaviors, and supersymmetry *Nucl. Phys. B* **553** 644–710 (Preprint hep-th/9808034)
- [40] Sato M and Tanaka T 2002  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry in quantum mechanics—analyses of particular models *J. Math. Phys.* **43** 3484–510 (Preprint hep-th/0109179)
- [41] Tanaka T 2004  $\mathfrak{S}l(M+1)$  construction of quasi-solvable quantum  $M$ -body systems *Ann. Phys.* **309** 239–80  
erratum *Ann. Phys.* **320** (2005) 257–9 (Preprint hep-th/0306174)
- [42] Tanaka T 2005 A many-body generalization of quasi-solvable models with type C  $\mathcal{N}$ -fold supersymmetry (I) regular cases *Ann. Phys.* **316** 187–212 (Preprint hep-th/0407275)
- [43] Tanaka T 2005 A new construction of quasi-solvable quantum many-body systems of deformed Calogero–Sutherland type *Ann. Phys.* **320** 199–225 (Preprint hep-th/0502019)